Outer space is often presented as a kind of universal global commons – a space for all humankind, against which the hopes and dreams of humanity have been projected. Yet, since the advent of spaceflight, it has become apparent that access to outer space has been limited, shaped and procured in certain ways. Geographical approaches to the study of outer space have started to interrogate the ways in which such inequalities have emerged and sustained themselves, across environmental, cultural and political registers. For example, recent studies have understood outer space as increasingly foreclosed by certain state and commercial actors (Beery 2012), have emphasised narratives of tropical difference in understanding geosynchronous equatorial satellite orbits (Dunnett 2019) and, more broadly, have conceptualised the Solar System as part of Earth’s environment (Degroot 2017). It is clear from this and related literature that various types of infrastructure have been a significant part of the uneven geographies of outer space, whether in terms of long-established spaceports (Redfield 2000), anticipatory infrastructures (Gorman 2009) or redundant space hardware orbiting Earth as debris (Klinger 2019).
Having been the subject of speculation in both engineering and science-fictional discourses for many decades, the space elevator has more recently been promoted as a “revolutionary and efficient way to space for all humanity” (ISEC 2017). The concept involves a tether lowered from a position in geostationary orbit to a point on Earth’s equator, along which an elevator can ascend and arrive in orbit. Essentially, it balances the centrifugal forces of Earth’s rotation with the effect of gravity to achieve a stable connection between Earth and outer space. It is also theoretically applicable to other planetary bodies, potentially mitigating many of the difficulties associated with launching from, and landing onto, planets and moons across the Solar System. An embryonic form of the space elevator was conceived in the early twentieth century by the Russian spaceflight theorist Konstantin Tsiolkovskii, who was purportedly inspired by the construction of the Eiffel Tower. In the postwar period, the concept
was outlined in greater detail by the Russian engineer Yuri Artsutanov (Artsutanov 1960), before attracting broader attention in an article by Jerome Pearson of the US Air Force (Pearson 1975).

Since its initial proposal in various popular-scientific formats, the space elevator (a.k.a. orbital tower, sky-hook or heavenly staircase) has been described in numerous works of science fiction, two of which are the focus of this short article. Arthur C. Clarke’s The Fountains of Paradise (1979) popularised the space elevator concept for audiences well beyond the engineering and spaceflight circles in which it was conceived, and remains the archetypal exposition of the idea in fiction. Numerous subsequent iterations have appeared in science-fiction narratives, one of which is Kim Stanley Robinson’s Red Mars (1992), which features a space elevator as part of its narrative of human colonisation of Mars. In both cases, the space elevator plays a pivotal narrative role, representing different kinds of geographical, cultural and political ramifications of space travel.

The space elevator in The Fountains of Paradise is conceptualised as a first step in the mass human colonisation of the Solar System. The main part of Clarke’s novel assiduously establishes as the setting the island of Sri Kanda, which is loosely based on aspects of Sri Lankan history and geography, and whose society is at a threshold in what is presented as a transition from antiquity to modernity. This is encapsulated in the narrative framing of the space elevator, whose Earth terminus is affixed to the summit of the holy mountain Sri Kanda, itself a model of the actual Sri Lankan mountain, Sri Pada. From here, “for the first time in history,” as one character describes, “we shall have a stairway to heaven – a bridge to the stars” (Clarke 1980: 52). In supplanting a monastic site atop Sri Kanda, the space elevator terminus presents technology as a driver of societal progress, and space exploration as the ultimate harbinger of a prosperous future for humanity.

Clarke’s novel ends with a far-future vision of a colonised Solar System, with the space elevator becoming “a vertical city”, just one spoke in a giant wheel that connects Earth with a vast orbital ring that encircles the globe (Clarke 1980: 226). As such, with the Sun entering a cooler phase in its life-cycle, “the whole terrestrial population had streamed up the equatorial Towers and flowed sunwards towards the young oceans of Venus, [and] the fertile plains of Mercury’s Temperate Zone” (Clarke 1980: 224). In this way, the space elevator acts as a bridge to salvation in the cosmos, a triumphant and transformational piece of Earth-space infrastructure. However, considered in the context of Clarke’s identity as a western author in a postcolonial space, and noting the significance of the equatorial region to orbital space technologies, The Fountains of Paradise also foregrounds earthly geographies of colonialism and tropicality in the articulation of humanity’s possible future in space (Dunnett 2019).

In many ways, Kim Stanley Robinson’s Mars trilogy owes an imaginative debt to Clarke, not just in terms of its “hard” science-fiction style of writing, but in its imagined future colonisation of Mars and indeed its narrative exposition of the space elevator concept. This debt is acknowledged in Red Mars by designating the orbital terminus of the Martian space elevator as the asteroid “Clarke,” which has, in the novel, been moved into an orbital position above the Martian equator. While the imagined technology of the space elevator is broadly comparable between the two novels, including their
planetary position on equatorial mountain-tops, their narrative divergences are significant. While Clarke's space elevator represents a utopian bridge to the stars, Robinson's space elevator acts as a catalyst for violent revolution on the Red Planet.

Human colonisation of Mars takes place continuously over the course of the novel and is tied up with the ongoing terraforming of the planet. As such, the pioneering first hundred settlers are joined by larger groups of emigrants from a politically volatile Earth, and the Martian space elevator plays a pivotal role in the acceleration of this process, easing the transportation of people and goods down to the planet's surface. The elevator cable's descent from the orbiting asteroid to the equatorial volcanic dome Pavonis Mons is described ominously in Red Mars – one character “felt as if he were standing on a sea floor observing a fishing line dropped down among them from the plum sea surface” (Robinson 1996: 508). Once the elevator is in place, it causes a huge influx of new colonisers to the Martian surface. These individuals are aligned with the “transnats” on Earth, global corporations that are exerting increasing control over terrestrial politics. The result is “a million people and no law, no law but corporate law” (Robinson 1996: 516).

Red Mars ends with a popular uprising against Earth's pervading influence on Mars. This is encapsulated in the fate of the space elevator, which, through sabotage, is detached from its anchor in space and comes crashing down along the Martian surface, wrapping twice around the planet. One character describes the resultant landform as “an equator just like the one I thought the Earth had when I was four years old. A big black line running right around the planet” (Robinson 1996: 594). As David Valentine has pointed out, the Mars trilogy has been praised both by advocates of planetary colonisation and by critics of utopianism, but nonetheless, the novels are characterised by “mapping terrestrial relations onto the Red Planet” (Valentine 2017: 193). The space elevator in Red Mars, whether through its construction or its downfall, acts as a conduit for such mappings, and thereby reflects contemporary concerns about “the political-economic dimensions of private space activity” (Beery 2012: 25), and, perhaps more alarmingly, the ways in which plans for the colonisation of other planets could render Earth as “something that can be left behind” amid discourses of uncertain environmental futures (Klinger 2019: 10).

Thinking through space infrastructure as culturally and politically arbitrated in specific geographical contexts helps to overcome grandiose projections of space exploration as a technologically mediated panacea for humankind’s problems on Earth, or as a gateway to a bright future in the cosmos. This article has suggested that the space elevator could be better understood in, for example, the historical context of colonialism or through contemporary understandings of planetary environmentalism. In this respect, the intersection of scholarly work in critical geography with critical studies of infrastructure, both actual and anticipatory, has much to offer. While space elevators are towards the extreme end of technologically possible alternatives to conventional space travel, their present-day proponents cite them as just one of the ways in which space travel can benefit human society. Thinking critically about imaginative representations of space technology can act as a reminder that space infrastructure, as with many forms of technology, is culturally and politically situated and can be contested across both fictional and critical scholarly registers.
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